## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

	)	
ALLIANCE FOR HIPPOCRATIC	)	
Medicine, $et \ al.$ ,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	Case No. 2:22-cv-00223-Z
v.	)	
	)	
U.S. FOOD AND DRUG	)	
Administration, $et \ al.$ ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
	)	

# UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED WITHOUT LOCAL COUNSEL

By separate motion, the States have sought leave to intervene as plaintiffs in this action. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7, the States of Missouri, Kansas, and Idaho hereby respectfully move the Court under Local Rule 83.10(a) to waive the requirement to obtain local counsel who resides or has a principal office located within 50 miles of the courthouse. In the alternative, the States ask for the Court to stay that requirement temporarily.

Good cause exists to grant this request for a waiver under Local Rule 83.10(a). First, this Court's rules grant an exemption to attorneys appearing on behalf of the Federal Government (which is representing Defendants) or the Attorney General of Texas. L.R. 83.11. As sovereign States, the Proposed Intervenors are similarly situated. (Indeed, the capital cities of two Plaintiff States are about the same distance

from this Court as Austin, Texas, is.) Second, unlike commercial litigation, where the expertise of local counsel with the legal culture is most pronounced, this case involves a public-interest challenge to a policy of the Federal Government affecting millions of Americans. Like the Federal Government, the States are repeat actors in this area and are well-acquainted with the intricacies of lawsuits under the Administrative Procedure Act. Third, the States have diligently sought to comply with the local-counsel requirement. Indeed, the States were informed just hours before they intended to file that the attorney whom the States anticipated would serve as local counsel could not serve. And fourth, in a case such as this one, the requirement to obtain local counsel may operate as a barrier to a full hearing of all claims. Given the nature of this lawsuit, many law firms (if not most) may be reticent to serve as local counsel because the lawsuit may be unpopular among their business clients.

Should the Court decline to grant a waiver, the States ask the Court to stay the local-counsel requirement temporarily. The States have diligently (yet so far unsuccessfully) sought to retain local counsel. The States will continue that diligent search if this Court denies the request for a waiver. But in light of the nature of this suit, the States anticipate needing more time than normal to find local counsel in this case.

Dated: November 3, 2023

#### ANDREW BAILEY

Missouri Attorney General

/s/ Joshua M. Divine
Joshua M. Divine, #69875MO
Solicitor General
\*Maria Lanahan, #65956MO
Deputy Solicitor General
\*Samuel C. Freedlund, #73707MO
Deputy Solicitor General

Office of the Attorney General Supreme Court Building 207 W. High Street P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-8870 (573) 751-0774 (fax) Josh.Divine@ago.mo.gov Maria.Lanahan@ago.mo.gov Samuel.Freedlund@ago.mo.gov

#### KRIS W. KOBACH

Attorney General of Kansas

<u>s/ Erin B. Gaide</u>\*Erin B. Gaide, #29691KSAssistant Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General 120 SW 10th Ave., 2nd Floor Topeka, KS 66612 (785) 296-7109 (785) 296-3131 (fax) Erin.Gaide@ag.ks.gov Respectfully submitted,

## RAÚL R. LABRADOR

Idaho Attorney General

/s/ Joshua N. Turner

\*Joshua N. Turner, #12193ID Acting Solicitor General James E.M. Craig, #6365ID Acting Division Chief

Idaho Office of the Attorney General 700 W. Jefferson St., Suite 210 Boise, ID 83720 (208) 334-2400 josh.turner@ag.idaho.gov james.craig@ag.idaho.gov

Counsel for Proposed Plaintiff-Intervenors

<sup>\*</sup> pro hac vice applications forthcoming

### CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I certify that on November 2, 2023, counsel for the States conferred with counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants about the relief sought by this motion. Counsel for Plaintiffs consented. Counsel for Defendants stated that they take no position.

/s/ Joshua M. Divine Joshua M. Divine

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 3, 2023, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically (via CM/ECF) and served on all counsel of record.

/s/ Joshua M. Divine JOSHUA M. DIVINE